



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,293	05/31/2001	G. Eric Engstrom	112076-138338	2368
25943	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.			KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G	
	CENTER, SUITE 1900 TH AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND, OR 97204			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Status of Application:		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
All Participants: Status of Application: (1) Alexander Kalinowski. (2) Steven Prewitt. Date of Interview: 10 February 2006 Type of Interview: 10 February 2006 Type of Interview: 15 February 2006 Time: 11:30 Type of Interview: 15 February 2006 Type of Interview: 15 February 2006 Type of Interview: 15 February 2006 Time: 11:30 Type of Interview: 15 February 2006 Type of Interview:	Fyaminer-Initiated Interview Summary	09/872,293	ENGSTROM, G. ERIC				
All Participants: (1) Alexander Kelinowski. (3)	Examiner initiated interview earningry	Examiner	Art Unit				
(1) Alexander Kalinowski. (2) Steven Prewitt. (4)		Alexander Kalinowski	3627				
(2) Steven Prewitt: (4) Date of Interview: 10 February 2006 Time: 11:30 Type of Interview: 2 Telephonic	All Participants:	Status of Application:					
Type of Interview: 10 February 2006 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: None Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the substance of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEN	(1) <u>Alexander Kalinowski</u> .	(3)					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: None Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(2) <u>Steven Prewitt</u> .	(4)					
Telephonic George George	Date of Interview: 10 February 2006	Time: <u>11:30</u>					
Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: None Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No						
None Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Part I.						
Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Part II.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Part III.						
ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview						
ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Allrande dati non les.						
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applies	ant/Applicant's Representative S	ionature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the course of an interview on 2/9/2006 with Examiner Maria Theresa-Thein, Applicant's Representative disclosed that Applicant's Representative's law firm has a current professional relationship with Examiner Thein's husband. After the close of the interview, Examiner Thein contacted SPE Kalinowski informing the SPE of this disclosure by Applicant's Representative. SPE Kalinowski contacted Mr. Prewitt, to inform Mr. Prewitt that the case would be immediately transferred from Examiner Thein's docket to the SPE's docket and will be re-assigned to another Examiner in due course. These actions were taken in order to remove any potential conflict of interest that could have arisen or potentially could have any bearing on the prosecution of this application.